

# Identification of Structural Parameters in Dynamic Discrete Choice Games with Fixed Effects Unobserved Heterogeneity

Victor Aguirregabiria, Jiaying Gu, & Pedro Mira

IAAE CONFERENCE 2019 - NICOSIA

Jun 26, 2019

# Empirical Dynamic Discrete Games

- Dynamic Discrete Choice games are useful tools for empirical analysis of **strategic and social interactions** that involve dynamic (investment) decisions.
- Important applications:
  - Firms' entry/exit and investment.
  - Dynamic social interactions and peer effects.
  - Electoral competition (Sieg and Yoon, 2017)
  - Labor supply within the family (Eckstein and Lifshitz, 2015)

# Structural Parameters and Unobserved Heterogeneity

- Two types of structural parameters are particularly important:
  - Switching or Adjustment cost parameters (dynamics)
  - Strategic or social interaction parameters (game)
- Identification of these parameters depends crucially on the specification of **Unobserved Heterogeneity (UH)**.
- Misspecifying **Persistent UH** can imply substantial biases in the estimation of parameters capturing dynamics. **Spurious Dynamics**.
- Misspecifying **UH common to players** can imply substantial biases in the estimation of parameters capturing strategic or social interactions between players. **Spurious positive spillover**.

# Fixed Effects - Sufficient Statistics Approach

- We study the identification of these structural parameters in dynamic games where  $N$  players are observed playing the game at  $M$  markets and  $T$  periods of time, where **T is small** and **M is large**.
- We consider a **Fixed Effects model** for the time-invariant Player-Market UH.
  - The joint distribution of the UH and the initial values of endogenous variables is unrestricted.
- We consider a **Sufficient Statistics - Conditional Likelihood approach** (Chamberlain, 1985, Honore & Kyriazidou, 2000).
- Is there a vector of statistics such that conditional on this vector the probability of the observed history of players' choices does not depend on UH but still depends on structural parameters?

## Two main challenges for Dynamic Games

[1] **Continuation values.** If players are **forward-looking**, their decisions depend on continuation values and these are nonlinear functions of UH and endogenous state variables.

- For single-agent dynamic discrete choice models, Aguirregabiria, Gu, and Luo (2018) show that we can get identification.

[2] **Multiple equilibria.** The model implies only bounds on probabilities of choice histories.

- The standard Sufficient Statistic - Conditional ML approach needs to be extended to deal with this case.

# Outline

1. Model
2. Identification
  - 2.1. Model with unique predictions
  - 2.2. Model with multiple equilibria (bounds)
3. Conclusions and Extensions

---

# 1. Model

---

# Model: Basic Features

- For today's talk, I will focus on a dynamic game with:
  - Two players:  $i \in \{1, 2\}$
  - Binary choice:  $y_{imt} \in \{0, 1\}$
  - Logit i.i.d. transitory shocks  $\varepsilon_{imt}$
  - The only endogenous state variables are  $(y_{1m,t-1}, y_{2m,t-1})$
  - Complete information
- At every period  $t$ , players choose simultaneously their actions  $(y_{1mt}$  and  $y_{2mt})$  to maximize:  $\mathbb{E}_t [\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \delta_{im}^s U_{im,t+s}]$ .
- Let  $\Delta U_{imt} \equiv U_{imt}(1, y_{jmt}) - U_{imt}(0, y_{jmt})$ .

$$\Delta U_{imt} = \alpha_{im} + \gamma_i y_{jmt} + \beta_{ii} y_{imt-1} + \beta_{ij} y_{jmt-1} - \varepsilon_{imt}$$



## Model: Best response equations

- The equilibrium of the dynamic game can be described by:

$$y_{1mt} = 1 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varepsilon_{1mt} \leq \alpha_{1m} + \gamma_1 y_{2mt} + \beta_{11} y_{1mt-1} + \beta_{12} y_{2mt-1} \\ + v_1(y_{2mt}, \alpha_m) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$y_{2mt} = 1 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varepsilon_{2mt} \leq \alpha_{2m} + \gamma_2 y_{1mt} + \beta_{21} y_{1mt-1} + \beta_{22} y_{2mt-1} \\ + v_2(y_{1mt}, \alpha_m) \end{array} \right\}$$

- $v_1(y_{2mt}, \alpha_m)$  and  $v_2(y_{1mt}, \alpha_m)$  are the "**differential continuation values**": difference between future values of choosing 1 versus 0.
- $p(\alpha_{1m}, \alpha_{2m}, y_{1m0}, y_{2m0})$  is unrestricted, i.e., FE model.



# Bounds on Choice Probabilities

- With  $\gamma_1 \leq 0$  and  $\gamma_2 \leq 0$ , the model implies lower and upper bounds for the outcomes  $(0, 1)$  and  $(1, 0)$ .

$$L(0, 1 \mid \mathbf{y}_{mt-1}; \boldsymbol{\alpha}_m) \leq \mathbb{P}(0, 1 \mid \mathbf{y}_{mt-1}; \boldsymbol{\alpha}_m) \leq U(0, 1 \mid \mathbf{y}_{mt-1}; \boldsymbol{\alpha}_m)$$

$$L(1, 0 \mid \mathbf{y}_{mt-1}; \boldsymbol{\alpha}_m) \leq \mathbb{P}(1, 0 \mid \mathbf{y}_{mt-1}; \boldsymbol{\alpha}_m) \leq U(1, 0 \mid \mathbf{y}_{mt-1}; \boldsymbol{\alpha}_m)$$

# Stackelberg Dynamic Game

- If  $\beta_{12} = \gamma_1 = 0$ , then **player 1 is the leader** and its current or future decisions are not affected by player's 2.

$$y_{1mt} = 1 \left\{ \varepsilon_{1mt} \leq \alpha_{1m} + \beta_{11} y_{1mt-1} + v_1(\alpha_m) \right\}$$

- **Player 2 is the follower** such that:

$$y_{2mt} = 1 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varepsilon_{2mt} \leq \alpha_{2m} + \gamma_2 y_{1mt} + \beta_{21} y_{1mt-1} + \beta_{22} y_{2mt-1} \\ + v_2(y_{1mt}, \alpha_m) \end{array} \right\}$$

- This model has **unique predictions** for the choice probabilities  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{y}_{mt} \mid \mathbf{y}_{mt-1}; \alpha_m)$  of any outcome  $\mathbf{y}_{mt}$ .

---

## 2. Identification

---

# Data

- The researcher observes panel data for the players playing the game at  $M$  markets and  $T$  time periods:

$$\text{Data} = \{ y_{1mt}, y_{2mt} : m = 1, 2, \dots, M ; t = 0, 1, \dots, T \}$$

$M$  is large and  $T$  is small.

- Given these data and the restrictions from the model, the researcher is interested in the estimation of the structural parameters  $\beta_{11}, \beta_{22}, \beta_{12}, \beta_{21}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2$ .
- We denote these structural parameters using the vector  $\beta$ .

# Identification of Stackelberg model

- Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m \equiv (y_{1mt}, y_{2mt} : t = 0, 1, \dots, T)$  be a *market history*:

$$\mathbb{P}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m \mid \boldsymbol{\alpha}_m, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = p_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_m}(y_{1m0}, y_{2m0})$$

$$\prod_{t=1}^T \frac{\exp \{ y_{1mt} [\alpha_{1m} + \beta_{11} y_{1mt-1} + v_1(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_m)] \}}{1 + \exp \{ y_{1mt} [\alpha_{1m} + \beta_{11} y_{1mt-1} + v_1(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_m)] \}}$$

$$\frac{\exp \{ y_{2mt} [\alpha_{2m} + \gamma_2 y_{1mt} + \beta_{21} y_{1mt-1} + \beta_{22} y_{2mt-1} + v_2(y_{1mt}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_m)] \}}{1 + \exp [\alpha_{2m} + \gamma_2 y_{1mt} + \beta_{21} y_{1mt-1} + \beta_{22} y_{2mt-1} + v_2(y_{1mt}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_m)]}$$

where  $p_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_m}(y_{1m0}, y_{2m0}) =$  probability of initial condition given  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_m$ .

# Identification of Stackelberg model [2]

- The log-probability of a market history has the following form:

$$\ln \mathbb{P}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m \mid \boldsymbol{\alpha}_m, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{s}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m)' \mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_m) + \mathbf{c}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m)' \boldsymbol{\beta}$$

where  $\mathbf{s}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m)$  and  $\mathbf{c}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m)$  are vectors of statistics.

- This structure implies that  $\mathbf{s}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m)$  is a sufficient statistics for  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_m$ .
- If the elements in the vector  $[\mathbf{s}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m)', \mathbf{c}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m)']$  are linearly independent, then the maximization of the Conditional log-likelihood function implies the identification of the vector of parameters  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ .



# Identification of Stackelberg Dynamic Game [3]

- The switching costs parameters  $(\beta_{11}, \beta_{22})$  and the lagged strategic effect  $\beta_{21}$  are point identified.
- The vectors of sufficient statistics is:

$$\mathbf{s}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}) = \left[ y_{10}, y_{20}, y_{1T}, y_{2T}, T_{(1)}, T_{(2)}, T_{(1,2)} \right]$$

$$\text{where } T_{(1)} \equiv \sum_{t=1}^T y_{1t}; \quad T_{(2)} \equiv \sum_{t=1}^T y_{2t}; \quad T_{(1,2)} \equiv \sum_{t=1}^T y_{1t}y_{2t}$$

- And:

$$\mathbf{c}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}})' \beta = \beta_{11} C_{(1,1)} + \beta_{22} C_{(2,2)} + \beta_{21} C_{(2,1)}$$

$$\text{where } C_{(i,j)} \equiv \sum_{t=1}^T y_{it} y_{jt-1}$$

# Examples of identifying pair of histories

## Examples of histories and identified parameters with $T=3$

$$A = \{y_0, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, y_3\}; \quad B = \{y_0, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}, y_3\}$$

	$y_0$	$\mathbf{a}$	$\mathbf{b}$	$y_3$	$\ln \mathbb{P}(A) - \ln \mathbb{P}(B)$
Case 1:	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\beta_{11}$
Case 2:	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\beta_{22}$
Case 3:	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\beta_{21}$

# Conditional Likelihood

- Consider the Conditional Likelihood (CL) function:

$$\ell^c(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{m=1}^M \ln \mathbb{P}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m \mid \mathbf{s}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m), \boldsymbol{\beta})$$

- Given the structure described above, the CL function has the form:

$$\ell^c(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{c}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m)' \boldsymbol{\beta} - \ln \left[ \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}: \mathbf{s}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}})=\mathbf{s}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m)} \exp \{ \mathbf{c}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}})' \boldsymbol{\beta} \} \right]$$

- This log-likelihood function is globally concave in the vector  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  such that it is straightforward to compute the CMLE of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  using standard gradient methods (Newton, or BHHH).

# Identification of Model with Multiple Equilibria

- Using the bounds for players' choice probabilities we can construct bounds for the probability of a market history  $\mathbb{P}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m \mid \boldsymbol{\alpha}_m, \boldsymbol{\beta})$ .

$$L(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m \mid \boldsymbol{\alpha}_m, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \leq \ln \mathbb{P}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m \mid \boldsymbol{\alpha}_m, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \leq U(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m \mid \boldsymbol{\alpha}_m, \boldsymbol{\beta})$$

- We can obtain (non sharp) bounds that have a logit structure and with the following form:

$$L(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m \mid \boldsymbol{\alpha}_m, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{s}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m)' g(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_m) + \mathbf{c}_L(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m)' \boldsymbol{\beta}$$

$$U(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m \mid \boldsymbol{\alpha}_m, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{s}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m)' g(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_m) + \mathbf{c}_U(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m)' \boldsymbol{\beta}$$

- Very importantly, these lower and upper bounds depend on the incidental parameters exactly in the same way,  $\mathbf{s}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_m)' g(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_m)$ .

## Identification of Model with Multiple Equilibria

[2]

- Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two market histories such that:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{s}(A) = \mathbf{s}(B) \\ \mathbf{c}_L(A) - \mathbf{c}_U(B) \neq 0 \quad \text{OR} \quad \mathbf{c}_U(A) - \mathbf{c}_L(B) \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

- Then, we have that:

$$[\mathbf{c}_L(A) - \mathbf{c}_U(B)]' \boldsymbol{\beta} \leq \ln \left( \frac{\mathbb{P}(A)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} \right) \leq [\mathbf{c}_U(A) - \mathbf{c}_L(B)]' \boldsymbol{\beta}$$

- These inequalities provide set identification of the structural parameters.
- Interestingly, there are pairs of histories such that:

$$\mathbf{c}_L(A) - \mathbf{c}_U(B) = \mathbf{c}_U(A) - \mathbf{c}_L(B) \neq 0$$

such that there is point identification of some structural parameters, or linear combination of structural parameters.

# Dynamic Game with Multiple Equilibria

- The parameters  $\beta_{11}$ ,  $\beta_{22}$ ,  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are set identified.
- The vectors of sufficient statistics is:

$$\mathbf{s}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}) = \left[ y_{10}, y_{20}, y_{1T}, y_{2T}, T_{(1)}, T_{(2)}, C_{(1,2)}, C_{(2,1)} \right]$$

with either  $C_{(1,2)} = C_{(2,2)}$  or  $C_{(2,1)} = C_{(1,1)}$

- And:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c}_{L1}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}})' \beta &= \beta_{11} C_{(1,1)} + \beta_{22} C_{(2,2)} + \gamma_1 T_{(1)} + \gamma_2 T_{(1,2)} \\ \mathbf{c}_{L2}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}})' \beta &= \beta_{11} C_{(1,1)} + \beta_{22} C_{(2,2)} + \gamma_1 T_{(1,2)} + \gamma_2 T_{(2)} \\ \mathbf{c}_U(\tilde{\mathbf{y}})' \beta &= \beta_{11} C_{(1,1)} + \beta_{22} C_{(2,2)} + \gamma_1 T_{(1,2)} + \gamma_2 T_{(1,2)} \end{aligned}$$

# Example of identifying pair of histories

## Examples of histories and identified parameters with $T=3$

	Lower	Upper
$  \left. \begin{aligned}  A &= \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \\  B &= \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right)  \end{aligned} \right\}  $	$\beta_{11} + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$	$\beta_{11} + \gamma_1$

## Summary & Conclusions

- We study the identification of dynamic discrete choice games with a FE specification of UH and using short panels.
- Relative to reduced form panel data models, the identification should control for the continuation values and deal with multiple equilibria.
- We extend the Sufficient Statistics approach to deal with bounds on the probabilities of market histories.
- We find positive (and some negative) identification results.
- Things to do: Inference; Empirical application; Relax the logit assumption.